No. 9703 12949

IN THE COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH OF ALBERTA JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF EDMONTON

BETWEEN:

EARL BRODER, GEORGE BRODER, RICHARD BRODER, MARGARET MACPHEE, DORIS BIBAUD, LUELLA ADAM AND DORIS BIBAUD AND GEORGE BRODER, PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVES OF THE ESTATE OF EDMUND BRODER, ALSO KNOWN AS ED BRODER, DECEASED

Plaintiffs (Applicants)

This is Exhibit " referred to in the Affidavit of - and -DONALD H BRODER Sworn before me this 14 day of DEC A.D., 20/0 DON BRODER A Commissioner for Oaths in and for Alberta Defendant #-0686559 (Respondent) PROCEEDINGS (Incomplete) 23rd April, 2004 Edmonton, Alberta Transcript Management Services, Edmonton ton, was server a server a trap of **C**

2

```
Proceedings taken in the Court of Queen's Bench of
   Alberta, Law Courts Building, Edmonton, Alberta
3
   *April 23, 2004 1:09 p.m. session
   The Honourable Madam Justice Court of Queen's Bench
   M. Bielby
                                    of Alberta
7 S. MacInnis, Ms.
                                   For the Applicant
9 D. Broder, Mr.
                                   The Respondent in Person
   L. Credgeur
                                   Court Clerk
10
11
   THE COURT:
                             Good afternoon. Please be
12
      seated.
13
   MS. MACINNIS:
                             Good afternoon.
   THE COURT:
                              Ms. MacInnis, you have
14
        scheduled this application.
15
16
    *Submissions by Ms. MacInnis
                              Yes, I have, My Lady.
17
   MS. MACINNIS:
18
           We're making application to have Mr. Don Broder
19
       held in civil contempt of both Your Ladyship's
        judgment following the trial and also the order of
20
21
        Madam Justice Veit which was granted on April 13th.
22
            In your reasons for judgment, you directed that
23
        Mr. Don Broder forthwith turn over to the personal
24
        representives of the estate the deer head trophy, and
25
        those reasons were issued on March 9th of 2004.
        Following that issuance of the judgment, there were
26
27
        some concerns regarding the safety of the trophy, and
```

an application was made before Your Ladyship on March 19th, which resulted in the order allowing a 2 Mr. George Butler, our process server, to attend at 3 Mr. Broder's residence in Sundry. After a thorough search, Mr. Butler took possession of what he thought at the time was the original trophy, but upon 6 examination by a taxidermist turned out to be a 7 replica of the trophy. We have had it examined by a 8 9 taxidermist, and that affidavit is on file from the taxidermist saving that he's examined it and it is not 10 the original deer head. 11

12

13

14

15

16

27

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

We then brought application before -- in regular chambers before Madam Justice Veit on April 13th. Mr. Don Broder was personally served with notice at that application. In the notice of motion, we asked for an order that he deliver the trophy to us by April 14th, which would be the day following, at 4:00; and we also had a provision in the notice of motion that if it was not delivered that the defendant would appear in chambers today, April 23rd, at 1:00 to show cause as to why he should not be held in contempt of Your Ladyship's judgment and any order that we be granted that day. Justice Veit granted an order on April 13th that Mr. Don Broder provide the trophy to our offices by 4:00 the following day, April 14th. She also in that order directed that if he did not so he was to appear in court today to show cause why he

wouldn't be held in contempt.

Justice Veit. We attempted to serve him on the

afternoon when the order was granted. He was not at

nome. The order was left with his wife, Mrs. Joyce

Eroder. However, a couple of days later on April

tith, a week ago, Mr. Butler was able to personally

serve Don Broder with that order. So he's having had

notice, double, -- and he's here in any event -- of

this application.

The trophy, needless to say, has not been produced

The trophy, needless to say, has not been produced to us. It's my submission that -- well, clearly, he's in contempt of both Your Ladyship's judgment and the subsequent order of Madam Justice Veit. It's my submission that Mr. Don Broder has had ample opportunity to comply with your order. It's been six weeks since your reasons for judgment were issued. I'm not aware of any excuse as to why he has not provided the original trophy to the personal representives. Our process server, Mr. Butler, had offered, I understand, to Mr. Broder that if he would call him at, you know, any time, day or night, he would come up and pick up the original from him so as to be the least inconvenience.

And at this point, I guess we're asking the Court to enforce the order that it's given. And although I'm somewhat reluctant to ask for this remedy, I

```
1
        really do not see any other effective remedy other
        than to request that the defendant be imprisoned for
2
        his contempt of the Court's order. It's -- clearly,
3
        he's been ordered to give this. He hasn't done so.
-1
        don't know of any other effective way to get
5
        Mr. Broder to comply with the order of the Court! And
6
        what I would be asking for would be, you know, a short
7
        period perhaps of imprisonment, after which he could
8
        perhaps be brought before the Court to have an
9
10
        opportunity to purge his contempt, you know, two or
        three days, something of that sort. And it's my
11
        submission that's the only way that the Court order,
12
        you know, can be effected, unless my friend has some
13
        other suggestion at this point.
14
            In our notice of motion, we had also asked for
15.
        directions from the Court regarding the replica, which
16
17
        is presently at my office. It would be my suggestion
        that that should be kept by our office until such time
18
        as we receive the original at that time, and we're
19
        prepared to give it back or whatever they
20
        (INDISCERNIBLE) at that time. But I would suggest
21
        that we be allowed to keep it until such time as we're
22
        in possession of the original.
23
             We're also asking for costs of the applications.
24
                                All right. Thank you.
    THE COURT:
25
             Mr. Broder.
26
    MS. MACINNIS:
                                Thank you, My Lady.
27
```