

Exhibit "E" 5 pages

Donald Broder

21

Sept

Lisa M Paul

IN THE COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH OF ALBERTA

JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF EDMONTON

LISA MARIE PAUL

Commissioner for Oaths

in and for the Province

of Alberta expires June 15, 2010

EARL BRODER, GEORGE BRODER, RICHARD BRODER,
MARGARET MACPHEE, DORIS BILBOE, AND LUELLE ADAM

PLAINTIFFS

This is Exhibit - E referred to in the Affidavit of
Sworn before me this 24 day
of March A.D. 2009
Craig Broder

A Commissioner for Oaths in and for Alberta



JOHN BACHINSKI
CLERK OF COURT

BETWEEN:

- and -

DON BRODER AND CRAIG BRODER

DEFENDANTS

AMENDED STATEMENT OF CLAIM

1. The Plaintiffs and the Defendants are all resident in the Province of Alberta.

2. The Plaintiffs and the Defendant Don Broder are all siblings while the Defendant Craig Broder is the son of the Defendant Don Broder.

3. The Plaintiffs and the Defendant Don Broder's father, Ed Broder, died intestate in 1968. Among the assets of the Ed Broder Estate is a world record mule deer head trophy. There has never been any formal administration of the Estate of Ed Broder.

4. Ed Broder died leaving no surviving spouse. Pursuant to

FILED THIS 18 DAY OF DECEMBER 2009
PURSUANT TO RULE UNDER ORDER-CONSENT DATED

18 DAY OF DECEMBER 2009

T

the provisions of the Intestate Succession Act, R.S.A. 1980, Chapter I-9, as amended, the said Estate shall be distributed per stripes among the issue, namely equally between the Plaintiffs and Defendant Don Broder.

5. From the time of Ed Broder's death until some time in 1973 the said trophy remained in the custody and safekeeping of the Plaintiff Richard Broder. In 1973 the Defendant Don Broder assumed custody of the trophy and has for many years been in possession as custodian with the knowledge and consent of the Plaintiffs of their father's "world record mule deer trophy", (hereinafter "the Trophy"), following their father's death in 1968. The Plaintiffs say that the Defendant Don Broder's custody of the Trophy was for benefit of and on behalf of all siblings.

6. In or about the month of February 1997 the Defendant Don Broder with the assistance of the Defendant Craig Broder, and without the knowledge of the Plaintiffs exercised dominion over the Trophy asserting the Defendant Craig Broder and/or the Defendant Don Broder were the sole owners thereof by displaying the Trophy in a trade show and receiving media coverage as owners, and against the rights and interests of the Plaintiffs.

7. On or about March 6, 1997 the Plaintiffs made demand upon the Defendant Craig Broder for the return of the Trophy and have made continued demand for the return of the Trophy from the

Defendants, but the Defendants have refused to return the Trophy.

8. The Plaintiffs claim that the Defendants have received monies for the display of the Trophy in or about February 1997 and on prior occasions, particulars of which are unknown to the Plaintiffs but within the knowledge of the Defendants. The Defendants have refused to account to the Plaintiffs for any monies received.

9. The Plaintiffs propose the trial of this action be held at the Law Courts Building, in the City of Edmonton, in the Province of Alberta.

WHEREFORE THE PLAINTIFFS CLAIM:

- (a) Replevin of the Trophy;
- (b) A declaration that the Trophy is jointly owned by the Plaintiffs and the Defendant Don Broder;
- (c) An accounting from the Defendants for all monies had or received and derived from their use or possession of the trophy;
- (d) An interim Injunction restraining the Defendants from displaying the Trophy or otherwise dealing with the Trophy (including selling/leasing, reproducing by cast or otherwise) without the Plaintiffs' written consent;
- (e) An Interim Order for Replevin returning the Trophy to the Plaintiffs or to a storage facility;
- (f) Damages as this Court deems meet;
- (g) Such specials as shall be proven at the trial of this action;
- (h) Prejudgment Interest on any monies had and received and due to the Plaintiffs and on any other damages;

(i) Costs on a solicitor and their own client basis;

DATED at the City of Edmonton, in the Province of Alberta, this 8th day of July, A.D. 1997, and FILED and DELIVERED by MESSRS. WEIR BOWEN, Barristers and Solicitors, 1600 Canada Trust Tower, Edmonton, Alberta, T5J 0H8, Solicitors for the Plaintiff herein whose address for service is in care of the said Solicitors.

ISSUED out of the office of the Clerk of the Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta, Judicial District of Edmonton, in the City of Edmonton, in the Province of Alberta, this 8th day of July, A.D. 1997.

JOHN BACHINSKI
CLERK OF THE COURT



TO THE DEFENDANTS:

You have been sued. You are the Defendant. You have only 15 days to file and serve a Statement of Defence or Demand of Notice. You or your lawyer must file your Statement of Defence or Demand of Notice in the office of the clerk of the Court of Queen's Bench in Edmonton, Alberta. You or your lawyer must also leave a copy of your Statement of Defence or Demand of Notice at the address for service for the Plaintiff named in this Statement of Claim.

Warning: If you do not do both things within 15 days, you may automatically lose the law suit. The Plaintiff may get a Court Judgment against you if you do not file, or do not give a copy to the Plaintiff, or do either thing late.

AMENDMENTS CONSENTED TO THIS
DAY OF _____
A.D. 1999

BRYAN & COMPANY

JOSEPH J. KUEBER
SOLICITORS FOR THE DEFENDANTS

Clerk of the Court
MAR 12 2001
EDMONTON, ALBERTA

NO. 9703 12949

DATED: day of
A.D. 1997

=====

IN THE COURT OF QUEEN'S BENCH
OF ALBERTA

JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF EDMONTON
=====

BETWEEN:

EARL BRODER, GEORGE BRODER,
RICHARD BRODER, MARGARET
MACPHEE, DORIS BILBOE AND
LUELLE ADAM

PLAINTIFFS

- and -

DON BRODER AND CRAIG BRODER

DEFENDANTS

=====

AMENDED STATEMENT OF CLAIM

=====

THIS AMENDED STATEMENT OF CLAIM
is issued by:

Weir Bowen
Barristers & Solicitors
1600 Canada Trust Tower
10104 - 103 Avenue
Edmonton, Alberta
T5J 0H8
ELIZABETH M. MacINNIS
Phone: 403-424-2030

Solicitors for the Plaintiffs
who reside at Edmonton,
Alberta, Calgary, Alberta and
Rimbey, Alberta respectively
And whose address for service
is in care of the said
Solicitors.

And is addressed to the
Defendants whose residence so
far as is known to the
Plaintiffs is Sundry, Alberta
and St. Albert, Alberta
respectively

FILE: 7839 EMM